

Prof. dr. M.B.M. Loos

Incorporation and making available of standard contract terms: a comparison between Articles 70-71 CESL, German and Dutch law

Content and effects of contracts, Groningen 31/5-1/6/2013



Incorporation of standard terms: better of with CESL than with national law?

- Depends on perspective
 - Consumer vs. business (B using terms)
 - Buyer vs. seller (B or S using terms)
- Reason for protection differs
 - \square B2C: structural imbalance \rightarrow on basis of status
 - \square B2B: individual imbalance \rightarrow against abuse
- Through unfairness test
 - Substantive fairness
 - Restoration of freedom of contract as to content agreement
- Through incorporation rules
 - Procedural fairness
 - Access to contract terms
 - Ability to read terms before contract is concluded

Loos, Incorporation, Groningen



Approach

- CESL
- German law
- Dutch law
- Comparison and concluding remarks

Loos, Incorporation, Groningen

3



Incorporation of standard terms under CESL

- Additional payments
- Acceptance
- Precontractual obligations to inform
- Terms to be provided before contract is concluded

Loos, Incorporation, Groningen



Incorporation of standard terms under German law

■ B2C: § 305 and 305c BGB

- Reference to terms
- Reasonable opportunity to read
- □ Acceptance
- Surprising terms

B2B: § 310(1) BGB/case law and 305c BGB



Incorporation of standard terms under Dutch law

Loos, Incorporation, Groningen

- Incorporation
- Surprising terms
- Reasonable opportunity to read
- International commercial contracts
- Large counterparts
- Comparison and concluding remarks



Comparison and concluding remarks

- German and Dutch law have much in common
- At detailed level: many discrepancies
- More attention to incorporation needed in CESL
 But uncertainty is unavoidable consequence of any legislation