Final Evaluation report CIS Master Thesis

Faculty of Arts, University of Groningen		
Name of student		
Student number		
	Communication and Information Studies	
	CIS MA Thesis	
Course code Thesis title	LCX999M20/LXC998M20/LCX997M20/LCX996M20	
Name of thesis supervisor		
Name of second reviewer		
Date		
Final Grade	0,0	
1. Content (quality of the research project):	evaluative comment in keywords or running text	
60% of the grade	by thesis supervisor	by second reviewer
Definition of problem and research question/hypotheses		
Theoretical accountability, embedding in literature (state of the		
Theoretical accountability, embedding in literature/state of the art		
Research design and methods		
Data collection		
Analysis (qualitative or quantitative)		
communication tools		
Originality and creativity		
Interpretation, critical reflection and discussion		
Individual Grade for Content* Final Grade for Content		
Final Grade for Content	0	
2. Reporting (quality of the report text):	evaluative comment in keyw	ords or running text
20% of the grade	by thesis supervisor	by second reviewer
structure, composition of the thesis, distribution of		
coherence, line of argumentation		
Language use: clarity, precision, conciseness		
Design of the title page, table of contents, appendices		
Design of tables and figures List of sources, bibliography		
Layout		
Individual Grade for Content*		
Final Grade for Reporting	0,0	
		1
3. Process (quality of realization):	evaluative comment in keywords or running text	
20% of the grade	by thesis supervisor	
Independence/self-activity Commitment		
Work management (according to schedule)		
Handling of feedback		
Grade for Process, given by Reviewer 1*		
must be at least 5,5		•
Summary avaluation		
Summary evaluation		
Name	<u> </u>	
Role	thesis supervisor	second reviewer
Signature		